

Texture Zeros and WB Transformations in the Quark Sector of the Standard Model

Yithsbey Giraldo

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Nariño, A.A. 1175, San Juan de Pasto, Colombia

(Dated: October 28, 2011)

Stimulated by the recent attention given to the texture zeros found in the quark mass matrices sector of the Standard Model, an analytical method for identifying (or to exclude) texture zeros models will be implemented here. We use the WB transformation process to find equivalent representations. It is shown that the number of non-equivalent quark mass matrix representations is finite. We give numerical results for parallel and non-parallel four-texture zeros models. We find that some five-texture zeros Ansätze are in agreement with all present experimental data. And we confirm definitely that six-texture zeros of Hermitian quark mass matrices are not viable models anymore.

I. INTRODUCTION

Although the gauge sector of the Standard Model (SM) with the $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ symmetry is very successful, the Yukawa sector of the SM is still poorly understood. The origin of the fermion masses, the mixing angles and the CP violation remain as open problems in particle physics. There have been a lot of studies of possible fundamental symmetries in the Yukawa coupling matrices of the SM [1–3]. In the absence of a more fundamental theory of interactions, an independent phenomenological model approach to search for possible textures or symmetries in the fermion mass matrices is still playing an important role.

In the SM, the mass term is given by

$$-\mathcal{L}_M = \bar{u}_R M_u u_L + \bar{d}_R M_d d_L + h.c., \quad (1.1)$$

where the mass matrices M_u and M_d are three-dimensional complex matrices. In the most general case, they contain 36 real parameters. A first simplification, without losing generality, is by making use of the polar decomposition theorem of matrix algebra, by which, one can always express a general mass matrix as a product of a hermitian and unitary matrix. Therefore, we can consider quark mass matrices to be hermitian as the unitary matrix can be absorbed in the right handed quark fields. This immediately brings down the number of free parameters from 36 to 18.

A simple and instructive ansatz of hermitian quark mass matrices with six-texture zeros was first proposed in reference [1]. An additional non-parallel six-texture zeros was given in [4]. Both textures are currently ruled out [5], because, among other things, they do not reproduce some entries of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) mixing matrix V . Specifically, in both cases, the magnitude of $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$ predicted by $\sqrt{m_u/m_c}$ is too low ($V_{ub}/V_{cb} \approx 0.06$ or smaller for reasonable values of the quark masses m_u and m_c [6, 7]) to agree with the present experimental result ($|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|_{\text{ex}} \approx 0.09$ [6]). Because of this, some authors have highly recommended the use of four-texture zeros [5, 8, 9]. But it is shown in this work, that this point of view is not completely certain.

We would therefore present an analytical method to

calculate models containing various texture zeros in the quark mass matrix sector, taking into account the latest experimental data provided [6]. We use simultaneously, in our research, two very common approach: one approach consists of placing zeros (called texture zeros) at certain entries of quark mass matrices that can predict self-consistent and experimentally-favored relations between quark masses and flavor mixing parameters [4, 10, 11]; which is used in conjunction with the other approach, the WB transformation (weak basis transformation), that transforms the quark mass matrix representations into new equivalent ones [8].

This paper is organized as follows: in Sect. II we discuss some issues related to the WB transformation method and its utilities. We dedicate, in Sect. III, to obtain some numerical parallel and non-parallel four-texture zeros quark mass matrices using special techniques for that; which we use, in Sect. IV, to find five-texture zeros in quark mass matrices compatible with the present experimental data; this configuration, is studied from an analytical point of view, in Sect. V; and our conclusions are presented in Sect. VI. In Appendix A a new theorem that shows two equivalent quark mass matrices are WB related is proved. And the method used extensively throughout this paper to find texture zeros is verified in Appendix B.

II. WB TRANSFORMATIONS

The most general WB transformation [8], that leaves the physical content invariable and the mass matrices Hermitian, is

$$\begin{aligned} M_u &\longrightarrow M'_u = U^\dagger M_u U, \\ M_d &\longrightarrow M'_d = U^\dagger M_d U, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where U is an arbitrary unitary matrix. We say that the two representations $M_{u,d}$ and $M'_{u,d}$ are equivalent each other. Besides, it implies that the number of equivalent representations is infinity. This kind of transformation will be used extensively in calculations below. But, firstly, let us show that the WB transformation is

exhaustive in generating all possible mass matrix representations.

The following theorem shows that there is no a quark mass matrix representation outside the set (2.1).

Theorem 1. *In the Standard Model, any two pairs of Hermitian quark mass matrices, given by (M_u, M_d) and (M'_u, M'_d) , with identical eigenvalues to a specific scale energy, they are related through a WB transformation*

$$M_d = U^\dagger M'_d U \quad \text{and} \quad M_u = U^\dagger M'_u U, \quad (2.2)$$

where U is an unitary matrix.

A proof of this theorem is given in the Appendix A.

The importance of the WB transformation, as calculation tool, can be appreciated from the following results.

A. The preliminary matrix representation

In the quark-family basis, it is more convenient to use the following quark mass matrix representation [8, 12]

$$M_u = D_u = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1u} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2u} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{3u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$M_d = V D_d V^\dagger,$$

which comes from a WB transformation, and we call it as the *the u-diagonal representation*. We call the other possibility

$$M_u = V^\dagger D_u V,$$

$$M_d = D_d = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{3d} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

as the *d-diagonal representation*. One advantage of using representations (2.3) (or (2.4)) is to be able to use simultaneously the CKM mixing matrix V and the quark mass eigenvalues $|\lambda_{iu,d}|$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Where $\lambda_{iu,d}$ may be either positive or negative and satisfy the hierarchy

$$|\lambda_{1u,d}| \ll |\lambda_{2u,d}| \ll |\lambda_{3u,d}|. \quad (2.5)$$

The importance of these representations can be appreciated verifying the following result:

“The CKM matrix can be parameterized by three mixing angles and a CP-violating phase”

B. One phase and three angles in the CKM matrix

It is usually said that the CKM matrix is an arbitrary unitary matrix with five phases rotated away through the phase redefinition of the left handed up and down quark

fields [13]. This can be shown by using the following unitary matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} & & \\ & e^{iy} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in order to make a WB transformation on (2.3). The up matrix

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} & & \\ & e^{iy} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} D_u \begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} & & \\ & e^{iy} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger = D_u, \quad (2.6)$$

remains equal, while the down matrix takes the form

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} & & \\ & e^{iy} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} (V D_d V^\dagger) \begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} & & \\ & e^{iy} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger, \quad (2.7)$$

$$M_d = \left[\begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} & & \\ & e^{iy} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} V \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha_1} & & \\ & e^{i\alpha_2} & \\ & & e^{i\alpha_3} \end{pmatrix} \right] D_d$$

$$\times \left[\begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} & & \\ & e^{iy} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} V \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha_1} & & \\ & e^{i\alpha_2} & \\ & & e^{i\alpha_3} \end{pmatrix} \right]^\dagger, \quad (2.8)$$

where in the last step we have used the identity (2.6) applied to the diagonal down mass matrix. The expression into the square brackets is precisely the most general way to write an unitary matrix [13].

In this representation, the matrix M_d , in (2.7), contains two free parameters x and y , which plays an important role to obtain texture zeros as we shall see later.

C. An unique negative eigenvalue

The Theorem 1 permits to use the u-diagonal representation (2.3) (or the d-diagonal representation (2.4)) as the starting point, to generate any other representation. If they exist, by this method, important texture zeros in mass matrix can be found.

Because some texture zeros must lie along the diagonal entries of both up and down Hermitian quark mass matrices, it implies that at least one and at most two of its eigenvalues be negative [8]. Furthermore, for the case of two negative eigenvalues, these mass matrices can be reduced to have only one negative eigenvalue, by factoring a minus sign out which can be included, for instance, into the mass matrix basis (2.3). Thus, without loss of generality, the texture zeros models can be deduced by assuming

$$\text{“that each one of quark mass matrices } M_u \text{ and } M_d \text{ contains exactly one negative eigenvalue.”} \quad (2.9)$$

III. NUMERICAL FOUR-TEXTURE ZEROS

There are a wide variety of four-texture zeros representations. Using a specific approach, some non-parallel texture are easy to obtain. But more laborious methods are required in parallel cases. In our analysis we will use the next physical quantities.

A. Quark masses and CKM

Let us consider the quark masses (in MeV) in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme [7], given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_u &= 2.5_{-0.8}^{+0.6}, \quad m_c = 1290_{-110}^{+50}, \quad m_t = 172900_{\pm 900}^{\pm 600}, \\ m_d &= 5.0_{-0.9}^{+0.7}, \quad m_s = 100_{-20}^{+30}, \quad m_b = 4190_{-60}^{+180}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix [7, 14, 15] is a 3×3 unitary matrix. It can be parametrized by three mixing angles and the CP-violating KM phase [15]. Of the many possible conventions, a standard choice has become [16]

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} c_{13} & s_{12} c_{13} & s_{13} e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12} c_{23} - c_{12} s_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & c_{12} c_{23} - s_{12} s_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & s_{23} c_{13} \\ s_{12} s_{23} - c_{12} c_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & -c_{12} s_{23} - s_{12} c_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & c_{23} c_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$, $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$, and δ is the phase responsible for all CP-violating phenomena in flavor-changing processes in the SM. The angles θ_{ij} can be chosen to lie in the first quadrant, so $s_{ij}, c_{ij} \geq 0$.

It is known experimentally that $s_{13} \ll s_{23} \ll s_{12} \ll 1$, and it is convenient to exhibit this hierarchy using the Wolfenstein parametrization. We define [17, 18]

$$\begin{aligned} s_{12} &= \lambda, & s_{23} &= A \lambda^2, \\ s_{13} e^{i\delta} &= \frac{A \lambda^3 (\bar{\rho} + i \bar{\eta}) \sqrt{1 - A^2 \lambda^4}}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} [1 - A^2 \lambda^4 (\bar{\rho} + i \bar{\eta})]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The constraints implied by the unitarity of the three generation CKM matrix significantly reduce the allowed range of some of the CKM elements. The fit for the Wolfenstein parameters defined in Eq. (3.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= 0.2253 \pm 0.0007, & A &= 0.808_{-0.015}^{+0.022}, \\ \bar{\rho} &= 0.132_{-0.014}^{+0.022}, & \bar{\eta} &= 0.341 \pm 0.013. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

These values are obtained using the method of Refs. [17, 19]. The fit results for the values of all nine CKM elements are.

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97428 & 0.22530 & 0.0034659 e^{-i 1.2020} \\ 0.22516 e^{-i 3.1410} & 0.97346 e^{-i 3.0689 \times 10^{-5}} & 0.041014 \\ 0.0086194 e^{-i 0.37376} & 0.040248 e^{-i 3.1235} & 0.99915 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.5)$$

and the Jarlskog invariant is

$$J = (2.91_{-0.11}^{+0.19}) \times 10^{-5}. \quad (3.6)$$

B. Non-parallel four-texture zeros

It is the most simple case. For instance, let us take the eigenvalues signs pattern as follow

$$\lambda_{1u} = -m_u, \lambda_{2u} = m_c, \lambda_{3u} = m_t, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\lambda_{1d} = m_d, \lambda_{2d} = -m_s, \lambda_{3d} = m_b. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, for this case, the numerical values in the u-diagonal representation (2.3) are

$$\begin{aligned} M_u &= \begin{pmatrix} -2.5 & & \\ & 1290 & \\ & & 172900 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}, \\ M_d &= \begin{pmatrix} -0.27947 & -22.814 - 0.55561i & 6.1758 - 13.535i \\ -22.814 + 0.55561i & -87.460 & 175.61 - 0.074512i \\ 6.1758 + 13.535i & 175.61 + 0.074512i & 4182.7 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where we have used the numerical CKM matrix (3.5). We observe that the entry $M_d(1, 1)$ in (3.9) is much smaller than the remaining entries. So, we can assume $(M_d)_{1,1} = 0$, as was pointed out in Reference [12].

Making a WB transformation on (3.9) using the following unitary matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

with $\tan \theta = \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_t}}$, the matrices (3.9) transform into a form, where the entries (1, 1), (1, 2) and (2, 3) of matrix M_u becomes zero. Then, we have

$$M_u = U D_u U^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 657.457 \\ 0 & 1290 & 0 \\ 657.457 & 0 & 172897 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}, \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_d &= U M_d U^\dagger, \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -0.17202 & -22.146 - 0.55532i & 22.082 - 13.535i \\ -22.146 + 0.55532i & -87.460 & 175.70 - 0.076624i \\ 22.082 + 13.535i & 175.70 + 0.076624i & 4182.6 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_d &\approx \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -22.146 - 0.55532i & 22.082 - 13.535i \\ -22.146 + 0.55532i & -87.460 & 175.70 - 0.076624i \\ 22.082 + 13.535i & 175.70 + 0.076624i & 4182.6 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where the entry $(1, 1)$ of M_d was assumed equal to zero. This result follows from the fact that the top quark mass is much greater than up quark mass.

We finally obtain a non-parallel four-texture zeros mass matrix representation.

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 657.457 \\ 0 & 1290 & 0 \\ 657.457 & 0 & 172897 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV},$$

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 22.153 e^{-3.1165i} & 25.900 e^{-0.54990i} \\ 22.153 e^{3.1165i} & -87.460 & 175.70 e^{-0.00043612i} \\ 25.900 e^{0.54990i} & 175.70 e^{0.00043612i} & 4182.6 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}. \quad (3.13)$$

New equivalent four-texture zeros representations can be obtained using the former representation. For example, if we use unitary matrices looking like

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.14)$$

and apply them to (3.13), it allows us to obtain new non-parallel four-texture zeros representations. For the case (3.14), we have

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 657.457 & 0 \\ 657.457 & 172897 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1290 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV},$$

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 25.900 e^{-0.54990i} & 22.153 e^{-3.1165i} \\ 25.900 e^{0.54990i} & 4182.6 & 175.70 e^{0.00043612i} \\ 22.153 e^{3.1165i} & 175.70 e^{-0.00043612i} & 87.460 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}. \quad (3.15)$$

$$O_u = \begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} \rho \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2u} \lambda_{3u} (A_u - \lambda_{1u})}{A_u (\lambda_{2u} - \lambda_{1u}) (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{1u})}} & e^{iy} \eta \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1u} \lambda_{3u} (\lambda_{2u} - A_u)}{A_u (\lambda_{2u} - \lambda_{1u}) (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{2u})}} & \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1u} \lambda_{2u} (A_u - \lambda_{3u})}{A_u (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{1u}) (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{2u})}} \\ -e^{ix} \eta \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1u} (\lambda_{1u} - A_u)}{(\lambda_{2u} - \lambda_{1u}) (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{1u})}} & e^{iy} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2u} (A_u - \lambda_{2u})}{(\lambda_{2u} - \lambda_{1u}) (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{2u})}} & \rho \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{3u} (\lambda_{3u} - A_u)}{(\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{1u}) (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{2u})}} \\ e^{ix} \eta \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1u} (A_u - \lambda_{2u}) (A_u - \lambda_{3u})}{A_u (\lambda_{2u} - \lambda_{1u}) (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{1u})}} & -e^{iy} \rho \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2u} (A_u - \lambda_{1u}) (\lambda_{3u} - A_u)}{A_u (\lambda_{2u} - \lambda_{1u}) (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{2u})}} & \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{3u} (A_u - \lambda_{1u}) (A_u - \lambda_{2u})}{A_u (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{1u}) (\lambda_{3u} - \lambda_{2u})}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.18)$$

where $\eta \equiv \lambda_{2u}/m_c = +1$ or -1 and $\rho \equiv \lambda_{3u}/m_t = +1$ or -1 corresponding to the possibility $(\lambda_{1u}, \lambda_{2u}, \lambda_{3u}) = (-m_u, m_c, m_t)$, $(\lambda_{1u}, \lambda_{2u}, \lambda_{3u}) = (m_u, -m_c, m_t)$ or $(\lambda_{1u}, \lambda_{2u}, \lambda_{3u}) = (m_u, m_c, -m_t)$. The pure complex phases in (3.18) were included, in order that given them appropriated values, the CKM matrix generated becomes compatible with the chosen convention (3.2) ². Note that $\tilde{B}_u$, $|B_u|$ and $|C_u|$ can be expressed in terms of λ_{iu} ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and A_u using invariant matrix functions

where some of their entries have been permuted.

We have found typical non-parallel four-texture zeros quark mass matrix representations. The WB was applied by using simple unitary matrices like (3.10). The process is more difficult if we want to find parallel texture zeros in quark mass matrices.

C. Parallel four-texture zeros

Let us begin implementing a method that we shall apply later to special cases. Let us start by giving the following structure for the up matrix elements ¹

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |C_u| & 0 \\ |C_u| & \tilde{B}_u & |B_u| \\ 0 & |B_u| & A_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\tilde{B}_u$ and A_u are real numbers. The mass matrix M_u can be diagonalized using the transformation

$$O_u^\dagger M_u O_u = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1u} & & \\ & \lambda_{2u} & \\ & & \lambda_{3u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.17)$$

where the exact analytical result of O_u is [5]

as follows

$$\text{tr} M_u \Rightarrow \tilde{B}_u = \lambda_{1u} + \lambda_{2u} + \lambda_{3u} - A_u, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\text{tr} M_u^2 \Rightarrow |B_u| = \sqrt{\frac{(A_u - \lambda_{1u})(A_u - \lambda_{2u})(\lambda_{3u} - A_u)}{A_u}}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\det M_u \Rightarrow |C_u| = \sqrt{\frac{-\lambda_{1u} \lambda_{2u} \lambda_{3u}}{A_u}}, \quad (3.21)$$

where “tr” and “det” are the trace and the determinant respectively. The matrix O_u can be seen as the unitary matrix such that the WB transformation transforms the

¹ It is sufficient to consider that the mass matrix be real symmetric, since the phases may be included later by means of a WB process.

² It is not necessary to include a pure phase in the third column of O_u , since we can factor out it.

representation (2.3) into the form

$$M'_u = O_u \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1u} & & \\ & \lambda_{2u} & \\ & & \lambda_{3u} \end{pmatrix} O_u^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |C_u| & 0 \\ |C_u| & \tilde{B}_u & |B_u| \\ 0 & |B_u| & A_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.22)$$

$$M'_d = O_u (V D_d V^\dagger) O_u^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} X_{(A_u, x, y)} & C_d & Y_{(A_u, x, y)} \\ C_d^* & \tilde{B}_d & B_d \\ Y_{(A_u, x, y)}^* & B_d^* & A_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.23)$$

where the elements of M'_d depends on three parameters A_u, x and y . To complete the analysis, we must obtain neglected values at the entries (1, 1) and (1, 3) compared with the remaining elements of the matrix M_d . Then we have to solve three equations

$$X_{(A_u, x, y)} = 0, \text{Re}[Y_{(A_u, x, y)}] = 0, \text{and Im}[Y_{(A_u, x, y)}] = 0 \quad (3.24)$$

where “Re” refers to the real part and “Im” the imaginary part of the function. In the process the following details must be taken into account:

- The formulas (3.19) through (3.21) must be real numbers. Therefore, the parameter A_u is restricted to lie into an interval. Let us see the different possibilities

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{– If } \lambda_{1u} = -m_u, \lambda_{2u} = m_c \text{ and } \lambda_{3u} = m_t \text{ then} \\ &\quad m_c < A_u < m_t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{– If } \lambda_{1u} = m_u, \lambda_{2u} = -m_c \text{ and } \lambda_{3u} = m_t \text{ then} \\ &\quad m_u < A_u < m_t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{– If } \lambda_{1u} = m_u, \lambda_{2u} = m_c \text{ and } \lambda_{3u} = -m_t \text{ then} \\ &\quad m_u < A_u < m_c. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where the hierarchy (2.5) was considered.

- The phases given in (3.18) could have been included initially in the transformation (2.7), instead to write them explicitly in the matrix O_u . The validity of this point of view is checked by observing that the matrix (3.18) can be decomposed as the product of two matrices, where the right hand side contains the pure complex phases as follows

$$O_u = O_{u(x=0, y=0)} \begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} & & \\ & e^{iy} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.28)$$

such that, after replacing this decomposition into (3.23) and comparing with (2.7), we conclude that both points of view concur.

In appendix B, we will work a case previously studied in the paper [8] and replicate the results presented there by using the techniques implemented here.

1. Example 1: parallel four-texture zeros

We are mainly concerned to find four-texture zeros with the recent data given in Section III A. Let us take the following case

$$\lambda_{1u} = m_u, \lambda_{2u} = m_c, \lambda_{3u} = -m_t, \quad (3.29)$$

$$\lambda_{1d} = m_d, \lambda_{2d} = m_s, \lambda_{3d} = -m_b. \quad (3.30)$$

We have, in the u-diagonal representation, the following mass matrix representation.

$$\begin{aligned} M_u &= \begin{pmatrix} 2.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1290 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -17290 \end{pmatrix} \text{MeV}, \\ M_d &= V D_d V^\dagger \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 9.7717 & 20.62 + 0.55690i & -6.1069 + 13.567i \\ 20.62 - 0.55690i & 87.967 & -175.63 + 0.067420i \\ -6.1069 - 13.567i & -175.63 - 0.067420i & -4182.7 \end{pmatrix} \text{MeV}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Making a WB transformation on (3.31), using the unitary matrix O_u (Eq. 3.18), the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &M'_{d(1,1)}(A_u, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = 0, \\ &\text{Re} \left[M'_{d(1,3)}(A_u, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \right] = 0, \\ &\text{Im} \left[M'_{d(1,3)}(A_u, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

are established, in order to find vanishes values in the entries (1,1), (1,3) and (3,1) of the resulting matrix $M'_d = O_u M_d O_u^\dagger$; where the pure phases given in O_u were defined as $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x = x_1 + ix_2$ and $e^{iy} = \cos y + i \sin y = y_1 + iy_2$, such that

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 1. \quad (3.33)$$

Eqs. (3.32) and (3.33) gives the following solution.

$$\begin{aligned} A_u &= 12.311, \quad x_1 = 0.62571, \quad x_2 = 0.78006, \\ y_1 &= 0.59378, \quad y_2 = 0.80462. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Finally, we obtain an exact parallel four-texture zeros mass matrix representation.

$$\begin{aligned} M'_u &= O_u M_u O_u^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6729.91 & 0 \\ 6729.91 & -171620 & 13269 \\ 0 & 13269 & 12.3114 \end{pmatrix} \text{MeV}, \\ M'_d &= O_u M_d O_u^\dagger, \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 196.732 + 66.7646i & 0 \\ 196.732 - 66.7646i & -4133.54 & 424.424 - 124.56i \\ 0 & 424.424 + 124.56i & 48.539 \end{pmatrix} \text{MeV}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

In the same way, we can find another non-equivalent parallel four-texture zeros representation. Let us look another case.

2. Example 2: another parallel four-texture zeros model

Another possibility that works well is

$$\lambda_{1u} = -m_u, \lambda_{2u} = m_c, \lambda_{3u} = m_t, \quad (3.37)$$

$$\lambda_{1d} = m_d, \lambda_{2d} = -m_s, \lambda_{3d} = m_b, \quad (3.38)$$

from which, we have $A_u = 89554$, $x_1 = 0.97542$, $x_2 = -0.22036$, $y_1 = -0.41069$ and $y_2 = -0.91178$. Therefore, the parallel four-texture zeros mass matrix representation is

$$M'_u = O_u M_u O_u^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 78.908 & 0 \\ 78.908 & 84633 & 85771 \\ 0 & 85771 & 89554 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}, \quad (3.39)$$

$$M'_d = O_u M_d O_u^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1.7292 - 30.945i & 0 \\ 1.7292 + 30.945i & 1914.0 & 2132.3 - 159.30i \\ 0 & 2132.3 + 159.30i & 2181.0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}. \quad (3.40)$$

IV. NUMERICAL FIVE-TEXTURE ZEROS

Now, let us try to find five-texture zeros for the quark mass matrix sector. If this cannot be achieved, we can conclude that five and six-texture zeros are not viable models. For that, we will use the mathematical tools previously implemented in Sect. III C. We shall begin as usual by proposing a texture zeros configuration, in this case with three zeros for the up/down quark mass matrix³, and see how many zeros can be reached for the down/up quark mass matrix. In principle, there are many possibilities, but many of them are equivalent ones. In total, there are two non-equivalent cases, depending on the number of zeros included in their diagonal entries. Therefore, we have only two possibilities: one-zero or two-zero in diagonal entries. Let us name them as *one-zero family* and *two-zero family*, respectively. With an appropriated unitary matrix and performing the corresponding WB transformation the other possibilities are obtained. In the table I both families are indicated, which summarizes the equivalent possibilities for each case. Let us study each family.

A. Two-zero family

In what follows, we work the cases *u-diagonal* and *d-diagonal* simultaneously. The standard representation for the two-zero family is

$$M_{u,d} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |C_{u,d}| & 0 \\ |C_{u,d}| & 0 & |B_{u,d}| \\ 0 & |B_{u,d}| & A_{u,d} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

and its diagonalization matrix satisfies the following relation

$$O_{u,d}^\dagger M_{u,d} O_{u,d} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1u,d} & & \\ & \lambda_{2u,d} & \\ & & \lambda_{3u,d} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.2)$$

³ A model with four zeros in the up/down quark mass matrix is not realistic.

Unitary matrix	Two zero Family ($p_i M_{u,d} p_i^T$)	One zero family ($p_i M_{u,d} p_i^T$)
$p_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_{u,d} & 0 \\ C_{u,d} & 0 & B_{u,d} \\ 0 & B_{u,d} & A_{u,d} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{u,d} & 0 \\ B_{u,d} & C_{u,d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{u,d} \end{pmatrix}$
$p_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & C_{u,d} \\ 0 & A_{u,d} & B_{u,d} \\ C_{u,d} & B_{u,d} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & B_{u,d} \\ 0 & A_{u,d} & 0 \\ B_{u,d} & 0 & C_{u,d} \end{pmatrix}$
$p_3 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} A_{u,d} & B_{u,d} & 0 \\ B_{u,d} & 0 & C_{u,d} \\ 0 & C_{u,d} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} A_{u,d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{u,d} & B_{u,d} \\ 0 & B_{u,d} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$p_4 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_{u,d} & B_{u,d} \\ C_{u,d} & 0 & 0 \\ B_{u,d} & 0 & A_{u,d} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} C_{u,d} & B_{u,d} & 0 \\ B_{u,d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{u,d} \end{pmatrix}$
$p_5 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} A_{u,d} & 0 & B_{u,d} \\ 0 & 0 & C_{u,d} \\ B_{u,d} & C_{u,d} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} A_{u,d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_{u,d} \\ 0 & B_{u,d} & C_{u,d} \end{pmatrix}$
$p_6 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{u,d} & C_{u,d} \\ B_{u,d} & A_{u,d} & 0 \\ C_{u,d} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} C_{u,d} & 0 & B_{u,d} \\ 0 & A_{u,d} & 0 \\ B_{u,d} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

TABLE I. One and two zero Family.

where one and only one $\lambda_{iu,d}$ is assumed to be a negative number. The invariant quantities “det” and “trace” applied on (4.1) and (4.2)

$$\text{tr} M_{u,d} = A_{u,d} = \lambda_{1u,d} + \lambda_{2u,d} + \lambda_{3u,d}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} M_{u,d}^2 &= A_{u,d}^2 + 2|B_{u,d}|^2 + 2|C_{u,d}|^2 \\ &= \lambda_{1u,d}^2 + \lambda_{2u,d}^2 + \lambda_{3u,d}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\det M_{u,d} = -A_{u,d}|C_{u,d}|^2 = \lambda_{1u,d}\lambda_{2u,d}\lambda_{3u,d}, \quad (4.5)$$

allow us to express the parameters of (4.1) in terms of its eigenvalues

$$A_{u,d} = \lambda_{1u,d} + \lambda_{2u,d} + \lambda_{3u,d}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$|B_{u,d}| = \sqrt{-\frac{(\lambda_{1u,d} + \lambda_{2u,d})(\lambda_{1u,d} + \lambda_{3u,d})(\lambda_{2u,d} + \lambda_{3u,d})}{A_{u,d}}}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$|C_{u,d}| = \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda_{1u,d}\lambda_{2u,d}\lambda_{3u,d}}{A_{u,d}}}. \quad (4.8)$$

From expression (4.8), together with (2.9), we have that

$$A_{u,d} > 0, \quad (4.9)$$

and using (4.7) and the hierarchy (2.5) we found that only one possibility is permitted

$$\lambda_{1u,d}, \lambda_{3u,d} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{2u,d} < 0. \quad (4.10)$$

For the u-diagonal case, the diagonalization matrix is

$$O_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0.999032e^{ix} & -0.0439812e^{iy} & 0.0000283438 \\ 0.0438159e^{ix} & 0.995333e^{iy} & 0.0859736 \\ -0.00380943e^{ix} & -0.0858892e^{iy} & 0.996297 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.11)$$

And for the d-diagonal case, the diagonalization matrix is given by

$$O_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0.975887e^{ix} & -0.218277e^{iy} & 0.000803792 \\ 0.215727e^{ix} & 0.965034e^{iy} & 0.148899 \\ -0.0332769e^{ix} & -0.145135e^{iy} & 0.988852 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.12)$$

As you can see, in both cases, we are treating with quasi diagonal matrices.

Performing the WB transformation using the unitary

matrix $O_{u,d}$ we have

$$M'_{u,d} = O_{u,d} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1u,d} & & \\ & \lambda_{2u,d} & \\ & & \lambda_{3u,d} \end{pmatrix} O_{u,d}^\dagger, \quad (4.13)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |C_{u,d}| & 0 \\ |C_{u,d}| & 0 & |B_{u,d}| \\ 0 & |B_{u,d}| & A_{u,d} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (4.14)$$

$$M'_{d,u} = O_{d,u} M_{d,u} O_{d,u}^\dagger, \quad (4.15)$$

where the matrices

$$M_d = V D_d V^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad M_u = V^\dagger D_u V, \quad (4.16)$$

depend on if we work with either *the u-diagonal* or *the d-diagonal* case.

In order to facilitate the calculus we define the following new variables

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ix} &= x_1 + ix_2, \text{ with } x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1, \\ e^{iy} &= y_1 + iy_2, \text{ with } y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where their norms satisfy

$$|x_1|, |x_2| \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad |y_1|, |y_2| \leq 1. \quad (4.18)$$

With the former definitions, the elements of the matrix $M'_{d,u}$ defined in (4.15) have now a polynomial form. The results are summarized in Tables (II) and (III)

1. Analysis of “down” mass matrix.

Table (II) summarizes the components of M'_d for *the u-diagonal* case. By simple inspection, using (4.18), shows that is not possible to find zeros at entries (2,2), (2,3) and (3,3). And not solutions were found for either

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}[M'_d(1,2)] &= 0, \quad \text{Im}[M'_d(1,2)] = 0, \quad \text{or} \\ \text{Re}[M'_d(1,3)] &= 0, \quad \text{Im}[M'_d(1,3)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

equations. Therefore, it is impossible to find two texture zeros into the down quark mass matrix coming from an u-diagonal representation for the two zero family case.

2. Analysis of “up” mass matrix and a model with five-texture zeros.

We consider *the d-diagonal* case. The entries of matrix M'_u , after the WB transformation is made, are given in the Table (III). According to the table, only entries (1,2) and (1,3) deserve some attention. From which, only the

case $\lambda_{1u} = -m_u$ gives an acceptable solution.

$$M'_u(1,2) = 0, \quad (4.19)$$

$$M'_u(1,1) \approx 0, \quad (4.20)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 0.990715, \quad y_1 = 0.135959, \\ x_2 &= -0.950378, \quad y_2 = -0.311096. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

The corresponding five-texture zeros representation obtained, is.

$$M'_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -45.1398 + 268.508i \\ 0 & 7348.64 & 31603.6 + 2136.6i \\ -45.1398 - 268.508i & 31603.6 - 2136.6i & 166839 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}, \quad (4.22a)$$

$$M'_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 22.6186 & 0 \\ 22.6186 & 0 & 630.903 \\ 0 & 630.903 & 4095 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}. \quad (4.22b)$$

M'_d	Negative mass eigenvalue		
entries	Case 1. $\lambda_{1d} = -m_d$ (MeV)	Case 2. $\lambda_{2d} = -m_s$ (MeV)	Case 3. $\lambda_{3d} = -m_b$ (MeV)
$M'_d(1, 1)$	0.575847 + 0.000242639 x_1 + 0.000766422 x_2 - 0.000418343 y_1 - 2.04257 x_1y_1 - 0.0488175 x_2y_1 + 0.0488175 x_1y_2 - 2.04257 x_2y_2	-0.448112 + 0.000349755 x_1 + 0.000766542 x_2 - 0.000437831 y_1 + 2.00483 x_1y_1 - 0.0488252 x_2y_1 + 0.0488252 x_1y_2 + 2.00483 x_2y_2	18.923 - 0.000345328 x_1 - 0.000768279 x_2 + 0.000437876 y_1 - 1.81206 x_1y_1 + 0.0489358 x_2y_1 - 0.0489358 x_1y_2 - 1.81206 x_2y_2
$M'_d(1, 2)$	-4.41891 + 0.367997 x_1 + 1.16239 x_2 - 0.629734 y_1 + 23.0678 x_1y_1 + 0.551322 x_2y_1 + 0.000279645 y_2 - 0.551322 x_1y_2 + 23.0678 x_2y_2 + $i(-1.16235x_1 + 0.367986x_2 -$ 0.000283849 $y_1 - 0.553463x_1y_1 +$ 23.1574 $x_2y_1 - 0.639201y_2 -$ 23.1574 $x_1y_2 - 0.553463x_2y_2)$	3.82662 + 0.530454 x_1 + 1.16257 x_2 - 0.659069 y_1 - 22.6416 x_1y_1 + 0.551409 x_2y_1 - 0.000279645 y_2 - 0.551409 x_1y_2 - 22.6416 x_2y_2 + $i(-1.16254x_1 + 0.530439x_2 +$ 0.000283849 $y_1 - 0.55355x_1y_1 -$ 22.7296 $x_2y_1 - 0.668978y_2 +$ 22.7296 $x_1y_2 - 0.55355x_2y_2)$	-3.4333 - 0.523739 x_1 - 1.1652 x_2 + 0.659137 y_1 + 20.4645 x_1y_1 - 0.552658 x_2y_1 + 0.000253012 y_2 + 0.552658 x_1y_2 + 20.4645 x_2y_2 + $i(1.16517x_1 - 0.523724x_2 -$ 0.000256816 $y_1 + 0.554804x_1y_1 +$ 20.544 $x_2y_1 + 0.669046y_2 - 20.544x_1y_2 +$ 0.554804 $x_2y_2)$
$M'_d(1, 3)$	0.500309 + 4.26443 x_1 + 13.47 x_2 - 7.35288 y_1 - 1.99053 x_1y_1 - 0.0475739 x_2y_1 + 0.00326518 y_2 + 0.0475739 x_1y_2 - 1.99053 x_2y_2 + $i(-13.47x_1 + 4.26443x_2 -$ 0.00326482 $y_1 + 0.04776x_1y_1 -$ 1.99832 $x_2y_1 - 7.35206y_2 +$ 1.99832 $x_1y_2 + 0.04776x_2y_2)$	-0.211203 + 6.14703 x_1 + 13.4722 x_2 - 7.6954 y_1 + 1.95376 x_1y_1 - 0.0475814 x_2y_1 - 0.00326518 y_2 + 0.0475814 x_1y_2 + 1.95376 x_2y_2 + $i(-13.4722x_1 + 6.14703x_2 +$ 0.00326482 $y_1 + 0.0477676x_1y_1 +$ 1.96141 $x_2y_1 - 7.69455y_2 -$ 1.96141 $x_1y_2 + 0.0477676x_2y_2)$	0.176993 - 6.06921 x_1 - 13.5027 x_2 + 7.69619 y_1 - 1.7659 x_1y_1 + 0.0476892 x_2y_1 + 0.00295421 y_2 - 0.0476892 x_1y_2 - 1.7659 x_2y_2 + $i(13.5027x_1 - 6.06922x_2 -$ 0.00295388 $y_1 - 0.0478758x_1y_1 -$ 1.7728 $x_2y_1 + 7.69534y_2 + 1.7728x_1y_2 -$ 0.0478758 $x_2y_2)$
$M'_d(2, 2)$	131.531 + 0.032279 x_1 + 0.101959 x_2 + 28.7171 y_1 + 2.02736 x_1y_1 + 0.048454 x_2y_1 - 0.0127524 y_2 - 0.048454 x_1y_2 + 2.02736 x_2y_2	-55.73 + 0.046529 x_1 + 0.101975 x_2 + 30.0549 y_1 - 1.98991 x_1y_1 + 0.0484616 x_2y_1 + 0.0127524 y_2 - 0.0484616 x_1y_2 - 1.98991 x_2y_2	56.2505 - 0.04594 x_1 - 0.102206 x_2 - 30.058 y_1 + 1.79856 x_1y_1 - 0.0485714 x_2y_1 - 0.0115379 y_2 + 0.0485714 x_1y_2 + 1.79856 x_2y_2
$M'_d(2, 3)$	349.619 + 0.185628 x_1 + 0.586341 x_2 + 165.154 y_1 - 0.175603 x_1y_1 - 0.00419693 x_2y_1 - 0.0733396 y_2 + 0.00419693 x_1y_2 - 0.175603 x_2y_2 + $i(-0.595205x_1 + 0.188434x_2 +$ 0.07444 $y_1 - 0.0000157455x_1y_1 +$ 0.000658807 $x_2y_1 + 167.632y_2 -$ 0.000658807 $x_1y_2 - 0.0000157455x_2y_2)$	365.751 + 0.267576 x_1 + 0.586433 x_2 + 172.847 y_1 + 0.172359 x_1y_1 - 0.00419759 x_2y_1 + 0.0733396 y_2 + 0.00419759 x_1y_2 + 0.172359 x_2y_2 + $i(-0.595299x_1 + 0.271621x_2 -$ 0.07444 $y_1 - 0.000015748x_1y_1 -$ 0.000646637 $x_2y_1 + 175.441y_2 +$ 0.000646637 $x_1y_2 - 0.000015748x_2y_2)$	-365.796 - 0.264189 x_1 - 0.587762 x_2 - 172.865 y_1 - 0.155786 x_1y_1 + 0.0042071 x_2y_1 - 0.0663549 y_2 - 0.0042071 x_1y_2 - 0.155786 x_2y_2 + $i(0.596648x_1 - 0.268183x_2 +$ 0.0673505 $y_1 + 0.0000157837x_1y_1 +$ 0.000584459 $x_2y_1 - 175.459y_2 -$ 0.000584459 $x_1y_2 + 0.0000157837x_2y_2)$
$M'_d(3, 3)$	4152.89 - 0.0325216 x_1 - 0.102726 x_2 - 28.7167 y_1 + 0.01521 x_1y_1 + 0.00036352 x_2y_1 + 0.0127522 y_2 - 0.00036352 x_1y_2 + 0.01521 x_2y_2	4151.18 - 0.0468787 x_1 - 0.102742 x_2 - 30.0545 y_1 - 0.014929 x_1y_1 + 0.000363577 x_2y_1 - 0.0127522 y_2 - 0.000363577 x_1y_2 - 0.014929 x_2y_2	-4151.17 + 0.0462853 x_1 + 0.102975 x_2 + 30.0575 y_1 + 0.0134935 x_1y_1 - 0.000364401 x_2y_1 + 0.0115377 y_2 + 0.000364401 x_1y_2 + 0.0134935 x_2y_2

TABLE II. *The u-diagonal representation:* the “down” mass matrix entries for the two zero family case.

B. One zero family

A typical representation of this family is given by

$$M_{u,d} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |B_{u,d}| & 0 \\ |B_{u,d}| & C_{u,d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{u,d} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.23)$$

The mass matrix $M_{u,d}$ is diagonalized as follows

$$O_{u,d}^\dagger M_{u,d} O_{u,d} = O_{u,d}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |B_{u,d}| & 0 \\ |B_{u,d}| & C_{u,d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{u,d} \end{pmatrix} O_{u,d}, \quad (4.24)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1u,d} & & \\ & \lambda_{2u,d} & \\ & & \lambda_{3u,d} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.25)$$

The following matricial functions allows us to write the elements of $M_{u,d}$ in terms of its eigenvalues $\lambda_{iu,d}$. They are

$$\text{tr} M_{u,d} = A_{u,d} + C_{u,d} = \lambda_{1u,d} + \lambda_{2u,d} + \lambda_{3u,d}, \quad (4.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} M_{u,d}^2 &= A_{u,d}^2 + 2|B_{u,d}|^2 + C_{u,d}^2, \\ &= \lambda_{1u,d}^2 + \lambda_{2u,d}^2 + \lambda_{3u,d}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

$$\det M_{u,d} = -A_{u,d}|B_{u,d}|^2 = \lambda_{1u,d}\lambda_{2u,d}\lambda_{3u,d}, \quad (4.28)$$

from which we have various solutions

a):

$$\begin{aligned} A_{u,d} &= \lambda_{1u,d}, & |B_{u,d}| &= \sqrt{-\lambda_{2u,d}\lambda_{3u,d}}, \\ C_{u,d} &= \lambda_{2u,d} + \lambda_{3u,d}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

M'_u	Negative mass eigenvalue		
entries	Case 1. $\lambda_{1u} = -m_u$ (MeV)	Case 2. $\lambda_{2u} = -m_c$ (MeV)	Case 3. $\lambda_{3u} = -m_t$ (MeV)
$M'_u(1, 1)$	$143.949 + 2.15605x_1 - 0.852947x_2 +$ $2.42129y_1 + 144.308x_1y_1 -$ $9.68582x_2y_1 + 0.0441168y_2 +$ $9.68582x_1y_2 + 144.308x_2y_2$	$118.674x_1 + 299.981x_2 + 122.694y_1 -$ $0.0182744x_1y_1 - 0.272269x_2y_1 -$ $6733.86y_2 + 0.272269x_1y_2 -$ $0.0182744x_2y_2$	$97.1029 - 2.19343x_1 + 0.852926x_2 -$ $2.45743y_1 + 96.6077x_1y_1 + 9.83144x_2y_1 -$ $0.0441157y_2 - 9.83144x_1y_2 +$ $96.6077x_2y_2$
$M'_u(1, 2)$	$-279.836 + 199.938x_1 - 79.0968x_2 +$ $218.914y_1 - 303.054x_1y_1 +$ $20.3407x_2y_1 + 3.98871y_2 -$ $20.3407x_1y_2 - 303.054x_2y_2 +$ $i(78.9083x_1 + 199.462x_2 - 4.18375y_1 -$ $22.4818x_1y_1 - 334.955x_2y_1 +$ $229.619y_2 + 334.955x_1y_2 -$ $22.4818x_2y_2)$	$208.569 + 203.405x_1 - 79.0926x_2 +$ $222.182y_1 + 203.862x_1y_1 +$ $20.6465x_2y_1 + 3.98849y_2 -$ $20.6465x_1y_2 + 203.862x_2y_2 +$ $i(78.904x_1 + 202.921x_2 - 4.18353y_1 -$ $22.8198x_1y_1 + 225.322x_2y_1 +$ $233.047y_2 - 225.322x_1y_2 -$ $22.8198x_2y_2)$	$-207.624 - 203.405x_1 + 79.0949x_2 -$ $222.182y_1 - 202.881x_1y_1 - 20.6465x_2y_1 -$ $3.98861y_2 + 20.6465x_1y_2 - 202.881x_2y_2 +$ $i(-78.9063x_1 - 202.92x_2 + 4.18365y_1 +$ $22.8198x_1y_1 - 224.236x_2y_1 - 233.047y_2 +$ $224.236x_1y_2 + 22.8198x_2y_2)$
$M'_u(1, 3)$	$182.326 + 1326.19x_1 - 524.648x_2 +$ $1490.18y_1 + 45.516x_1y_1 - 3.05498x_2y_1 +$ $27.1517y_2 + 3.05498x_1y_2 + 45.516x_2y_2 +$ $i(524.677x_1 + 1326.26x_2 - 27.1223y_1 +$ $3.38526x_1y_1 + 50.4368x_2y_1 +$ $1488.57y_2 - 50.4368x_1y_2 +$ $3.38526x_2y_2)$	$108.972 + 1349.18x_1 - 524.62x_2 +$ $1512.42y_1 - 30.6183x_1y_1 -$ $3.10091x_2y_1 + 27.1502y_2 +$ $3.10091x_1y_2 - 30.6183x_2y_2 +$ $i(524.649x_1 + 1349.26x_2 - 27.1209y_1 +$ $3.43615x_1y_1 - 33.9285x_2y_1 +$ $1510.79y_2 + 33.9285x_1y_2 +$ $3.43615x_2y_2)$	$-109.118 - 1349.18x_1 + 524.635x_2 -$ $1512.42y_1 + 30.4708x_1y_1 + 3.10091x_2y_1 -$ $27.151y_2 - 3.10091x_1y_2 + 30.4708x_2y_2 +$ $i(-524.664x_1 - 1349.25x_2 + 27.1216y_1 -$ $3.43615x_1y_1 + 33.765x_2y_1 - 1510.79y_2 -$ $33.765x_1y_2 - 3.43615x_2y_2)$
$M'_u(2, 2)$	$5229.6 + 88.2903x_1 - 34.9282x_2 -$ $1983.03y_1 - 141.037x_1y_1 +$ $9.46621x_2y_1 - 36.1316y_2 -$ $9.46621x_1y_2 - 141.037x_2y_2$	$2946.99 + 89.8213x_1 - 34.9263x_2 -$ $2012.64y_1 + 94.8743x_1y_1 +$ $9.60853x_2y_1 - 36.1297y_2 -$ $9.60853x_1y_2 + 94.8743x_2y_2$	$-2946.54 - 89.8209x_1 + 34.9273x_2 +$ $2012.64y_1 - 94.4173x_1y_1 - 9.60853x_2y_1 +$ $36.1307y_2 + 9.60853x_1y_2 - 94.4173x_2y_2$
$M'_u(2, 3)$	$25203.9 + 286.362x_1 - 113.287x_2 -$ $6435.63y_1 + 21.4833x_1y_1 -$ $1.44194x_2y_1 - 117.26y_2 +$ $1.44194x_1y_2 + 21.4833x_2y_2 +$ $i(118.674x_1 + 299.981x_2 + 122.694y_1 -$ $0.0182744x_1y_1 - 0.272269x_2y_1 -$ $6733.86y_2 + 0.272269x_1y_2 -$ $0.0182744x_2y_2)$	$25546.6 + 291.328x_1 - 113.28x_2 -$ $6531.7y_1 - 14.4517x_1y_1 -$ $1.46361x_2y_1 - 117.253y_2 +$ $1.46361x_1y_2 - 14.4517x_2y_2 +$ $i(118.668x_1 + 305.183x_2 + 122.687y_1 -$ $0.0185491x_1y_1 + 0.183153x_2y_1 -$ $6834.38y_2 - 0.183153x_1y_2 -$ $0.0185491x_2y_2)$	$-25546.6 - 291.326x_1 + 113.284x_2 +$ $6531.7y_1 + 14.3821x_1y_1 + 1.46361x_2y_1 +$ $117.257y_2 - 1.46361x_1y_2 + 14.3821x_2y_2 +$ $i(-118.671x_1 - 305.182x_2 - 122.691y_1 +$ $0.0185491x_1y_1 - 0.182271x_2y_1 +$ $6834.38y_2 + 0.182271x_1y_2 +$ $0.0185491x_2y_2)$
$M'_u(3, 3)$	$168814 - 90.4464x_1 + 35.7811x_2 +$ $1980.61y_1 - 3.27191x_1y_1 +$ $0.219607x_2y_1 + 36.0875y_2 -$ $0.219607x_1y_2 - 3.27191x_2y_2$	$168758 - 92.0148x_1 + 35.7792x_2 +$ $2010.18y_1 + 2.20099x_1y_1 +$ $0.222908x_2y_1 + 36.0856y_2 -$ $0.222908x_1y_2 + 2.20099x_2y_2$	$-168758. + 92.0144x_1 - 35.7802x_2 -$ $2010.18y_1 - 2.19039x_1y_1 -$ $0.222908x_2y_1 - 36.0866y_2 +$ $0.222908x_1y_2 - 2.19039x_2y_2$

TABLE III. The d -diagonal representation: the “up” mass matrix entries for the two zero family case.

b):

$$A_{u,d} = \lambda_{2u,d}, \quad |B_{u,d}| = \sqrt{-\lambda_{1u,d}\lambda_{3u,d}}, \quad (4.30)$$

$$C_{u,d} = \lambda_{1u,d} + \lambda_{3u,d},$$

c):

$$A_{u,d} = \lambda_{3u,d}, \quad |B_{u,d}| = \sqrt{-\lambda_{1u,d}\lambda_{2u,d}}, \quad (4.31)$$

$$C_{u,d} = \lambda_{1u,d} + \lambda_{2u,d}.$$

Each one of these former cases were analyzed. Both representations u -diagonal and d -diagonal were worked. The Eqs. (4.29, 4.30, 4.31), gives two possibilities for each case a), b) and c), depending of what eigenvalue is negative. In turn, each one of these cases, contain three possibilities depending of the negative eigenvalue assigned for the down (up) mass matrix. In total there are 36 possibilities. Neither of this cases we were able to find models with five-texture or six-texture zeros.

V. ANALYTICAL FIVE-TEXTURE ZEROS AND THE CKM MATRIX

The five-texture zeros form of Eq. (4.22), derived under the conditions given in section IV A 2, is specially interesting because with the latest low energy data shows that it is a viable model, something not considered or rule out in papers like [5, 8, 20]. Let us assume the following five-texture zeros model

$$M_u = P^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & |C_u| \\ 0 & A_u & |B_u| \\ |C_u| & |B_u| & \tilde{B}_u \end{pmatrix} P, \quad M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |C_d| & 0 \\ |C_d| & 0 & |B_d| \\ 0 & |B_d| & A_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.1)$$

where up and down quark mass matrices are given in the most general way, $P = \text{diag}(e^{-i\phi_{c_u}}, e^{-i\phi_{b_u}}, 1)$ with $\phi_{b_u} \equiv \arg(B_u)$ and $\phi_{c_u} \equiv \arg(C_u)$, where the phases for M_d no were considered because they can be absorbed, through

a WB transformation, into P . Considering $\lambda_{1u} = -m_u$, we have from (3.19) through (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{B}_u &= -m_u + m_t + m_c - A_u, \\ |B_u| &= \frac{\sqrt{A_u - m_c} \sqrt{m_t - A_u} \sqrt{m_u + A_u}}{\sqrt{A_u}}, \\ |C_u| &= \frac{\sqrt{m_c} \sqrt{m_t} \sqrt{m_u}}{\sqrt{A_u}},\end{aligned}\quad (5.2)$$

where (3.25) was considered.

$$U_u = P^\dagger \cdot p_2 \cdot O_u \approx \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi_{cu}} & \frac{\sqrt{A_u - m_c} \sqrt{m_u} e^{i\phi_{cu}}}{\sqrt{A_u} \sqrt{m_c}} & \frac{\sqrt{m_c} \sqrt{m_t - A_u} \sqrt{m_u} e^{i\phi_{cu}}}{\sqrt{A_u} m_t} \\ \frac{\sqrt{A_u - m_c} \sqrt{m_t - A_u} \sqrt{m_u} e^{i\phi_{bu}}}{\sqrt{A_u} \sqrt{m_c} \sqrt{m_t}} & -\frac{\sqrt{m_t - A_u} e^{i\phi_{bu}}}{\sqrt{m_t}} & \frac{\sqrt{A_u - m_c} e^{i\phi_{bu}}}{\sqrt{m_t}} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{A_u} \sqrt{m_u}}{\sqrt{m_c} \sqrt{m_t}} & \frac{\sqrt{A_u - m_c}}{\sqrt{m_t}} & \frac{\sqrt{m_t - A_u}}{\sqrt{m_t}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.4)$$

where pure phases present in O_u (Eq. (3.18)) were omitted, because of (2.8). The 3×3 matrix $p_2 = [(1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0)]$ and the hierarchy (2.5) together with (3.25) were considered.

And the unitary matrix U_d which diagonalizes M_d is given by

$$U_d \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\sqrt{m_d}}{\sqrt{m_s}} & \frac{\sqrt{m_d} m_s}{(m_b)^{3/2}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{m_d}}{\sqrt{m_s}} & 1 & \frac{\sqrt{m_s}}{\sqrt{m_b}} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{m_d}}{\sqrt{m_b}} & -\frac{\sqrt{m_s}}{\sqrt{m_b}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.5)$$

Now, we can easily find the CKM matrix $V = U_u^\dagger U_d$. In particular, using the matrix form (5.4) and (5.5) for U_u , U_d respectively, can survive current experimental tests. To leading order, we obtain

$$|V_{ud}| \approx |V_{cs}| \approx |V_{tb}| \approx 1, \quad (5.6a)$$

$$|V_{us}| \approx |V_{cd}| \approx \left| \sqrt{\frac{A_u - m_c}{A_u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} - e^{\pm i(\phi_{bu} - \phi_{cu})} \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} \right|, \quad (5.6b)$$

$$|V_{cb}| \approx |V_{ts}| \approx \left| \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_b}} - e^{\pm i\phi_{bu}} \sqrt{\frac{A_u - m_c}{m_t}} \right|, \quad (5.6c)$$

$$\frac{|V_{ub}|}{|V_{cb}|} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} \left| \frac{\sqrt{\frac{A_u}{m_t}} - e^{-i\phi_{bu}} \sqrt{\frac{A_u - m_c}{A_u}} \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_b}}}{\sqrt{\frac{A_u - m_c}{m_t}} - e^{-i\phi_{bu}} \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_b}}} \right|, \quad (5.6d)$$

$$\frac{|V_{td}|}{|V_{ts}|} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}, \quad (5.6e)$$

where we assume $A_u \ll m_t$. The sign “+” for V_{us}, V_{cb} and “-” for V_{cd}, V_{ts} . Note that if $A_u \gg m_c$ then $\frac{|V_{ub}|}{|V_{cb}|} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}}$, but this is not our case.

It is obvious that Eq. (5.6a), (5.6b) and (5.6e) are consistent with the previous results [5, 21]. A good fit of Eqs. (5.6) to the experimental data suggests

$$A_u = 7348.64 \text{ MeV}, \quad \phi_{bu} = 0.0675036, \quad \phi_{cu} = 1.73735, \quad (5.7)$$

Taking into account (4.9) and (4.10), for the down mass matrix we have that

$$\begin{aligned}A_d &= -m_s + m_d + m_b, \\ |B_d| &= \frac{\sqrt{m_d + m_b} \sqrt{m_b - m_s} \sqrt{m_s - m_d}}{\sqrt{-m_s + m_d + m_b}}, \\ |C_d| &= \frac{\sqrt{m_b} \sqrt{m_d} \sqrt{m_s}}{\sqrt{-m_s + m_d + m_b}}.\end{aligned}\quad (5.3)$$

The unitary matrix U_u which diagonalizes M_u is given by

that not differ very much from the values given in [5, 21], $\phi_1 \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \sim (\phi_{cu} - \phi_{bu})$, implying maximal CP-violation in the context of present mass matrices, and $\phi_2 \approx 0 \sim \phi_{bu}$. The inner angles of the CKM unitarity triangle, $V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$, are [7]

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= \arg \left(-\frac{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*}{V_{td}V_{tb}^*} \right) = 19.8749^\circ, \\ \alpha &= \arg \left(-\frac{V_{td}V_{tb}^*}{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*} \right) = 90.595^\circ, \\ \gamma &= \arg \left(-\frac{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*} \right) = 69.53^\circ\end{aligned}\quad (5.8)$$

and the Jarlskog invariant is

$$J = \text{Im}(V_{us}V_{ub}^*V_{cs}^*V_{cb}) = 2.90804 \times 10^{-5}. \quad (5.9)$$

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Within the Standard Model framework, we have investigated texture zeros for quark mass matrices that reproduce the quark masses and experimental mixing parameters. To simplify the problem, without loss of generality, we consider that the quark mass matrices are Hermitian, since the right chirality fields are singlets under the gauge symmetry $\text{SU}(2)$. So, for any model where the fields are right chiral singlet under the local gauge symmetry, we may consider that their mass matrices are Hermitian. Specific six-texture zeros in quark mass matrices, including the Fritzsch model [1] and others like [4], have already been discarded because they can not adjust their results to the experimental data known at present. In Theorem 1, together with the definition of WB transformation, it is shown that the number of non-equivalent representations for the quark mass matrices is finite, which

greatly simplifies the problem. Through WB transformations was relatively easy to find non-parallel four-texture zeros mass matrices. More difficult, but feasible, was the case for parallel four-texture zeros mass matrices. Significant was the consistent five-texture zeros quark mass matrix found by us. Similarly, we show the impossibility, under any circumstances, to find mass matrices with six-texture zeros consistent with experimental data. This is a generalization of six-texture zeros mass matrices discarded by Fritzsch et al.

Throughout this letter, into the SM, we have used the fact that all WB are equivalent. The opposite case is valid too, i.e., two equivalent quark mass matrices representations must be related through a WB transformation. Which is condensed in Theorem 1.

By making appropriated WB transformations, numerical parallel and non parallel four-texture zeros were found. An exhaustive deduction process allows us to find a five-texture zeros numerical structure compatible with the experimental data, Eq. (4.22). This representation was found in the two zero family case. Equivalent representations are given in Table I.

We have determined the impossibility to find quark mass matrices having a total of six-texture zeros which are consistent with the measured values of the quark masses and mixing angles. While, a consistent model with five-texture zeros were successful. The five texture zero Ansatz of Eq.(5.1), together with some assumptions which include appropriated values for A_u, ϕ_{b_u} and ϕ_{c_u} does lead to successful predictions for V_{CKM} such as those of Eqs.(5.6), (5.8) and (5.9). One nice thing about five-texture zeros quark mass matrices (5.1) is that no hierarchies on quark masses is necessary to be imposed to make correct predictions, although, expressions (5.6) becomes a more complex notation.

Appendix A: Proof of Theorem 1.

Let us first consider the representation of Hermitian quark mass matrices indicated by (M_u, M_d) , and diagonalize them as follows

$$U_u^\dagger M_u U_u = D_u \quad \text{and} \quad U_d^\dagger M_d U_d = D_d. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The CKM mixing matrix is given by

$$V_{ckm} = U_u^\dagger U_d. \quad (\text{A2})$$

On the other hand, the prime representation (M'_u, M'_d) gives

$$U_u'^\dagger M'_u U'_u = D_u \quad \text{and} \quad U_d'^\dagger M'_d U'_d = D_d, \quad (\text{A3})$$

and

$$V_{ckm} = U_u'^\dagger U'_d. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Equating the expressions (A2) and (A4) yields

$$U_u^\dagger U_d = U_u'^\dagger U'_d \Rightarrow U_u U_u^\dagger = U'_d U_d'^\dagger. \quad (\text{A5})$$

And equating (A1) and (A3), gives respectively

$$U_u'^\dagger M'_u U'_u = U_u^\dagger M_u U_u \quad \text{and} \quad U_d'^\dagger M'_d U'_d = U_d^\dagger M_d U_d, \quad (\text{A6})$$

where we find that the mass matrices M_u and M_d can be expressed in terms of the mass matrices M'_u and M'_d as follows

$$M_u = U_u U_u'^\dagger M'_u U'_u U_u^\dagger, \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$M_d = U_d U_d'^\dagger M'_d U'_d U_d^\dagger. \quad (\text{A8})$$

Using (A5) into (A8), we have

$$M_d = U_u U_u'^\dagger M'_d U'_u U_u^\dagger. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Noting the matrices (A7) and (A9), the theorem is proven by defining the unitary matrix $U = U_u U_u'^\dagger$.

In this reasoning, we have assumed that both representations generates the same CKM mixing matrix (V_{ckm}), something valid due that a WB transformation makes them equal, as was shown in section (II B).

Appendix B: Verification of the Method.

The paper [8] uses the following quark mass data:

$$m_u = 2.50 \text{ MeV}, m_c = 600 \text{ MeV}, m_t = 174000 \text{ MeV}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$m_d = 4.00 \text{ MeV}, m_s = 80 \text{ MeV}, m_b = 3000 \text{ MeV}. \quad (\text{B2})$$

and the numerical CKM matrix used is

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0.036195 + 0.97493i & -0.057798 + 0.21177i & 0.00037188 - 0.0035669i \\ -0.21247 + 0.054471i & 0.97351 + 0.050582i & -0.0044010 - 0.039760i \\ 0.0043605 + 0.0083871i & 0.0086356 - 0.038067i & 0.99836 + 0.040693i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

We assume the following case:

$$\lambda_{1u} = -m_u, \lambda_{2u} = m_c, \lambda_{3u} = m_t, \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$\lambda_{1d} = -m_d, \lambda_{2d} = m_s, \lambda_{3d} = m_b. \quad (\text{B5})$$

Then, the quark mass matrices (2.3) are

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} -2.5 & & \\ & 600 & \\ & & 174000 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}, \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0.086447 & -3.4055 + 17.655i & -0.039835 - 10.774i \\ -3.4055 - 17.655i & 80.631 & -17.515 - 115.56i \\ -0.039835 + 10.774i & -17.515 + 115.56i & 2995.3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

Let us use the diagonalization matrix (3.18) with $x = \pi$ and $y = \pi$.

$$O_u \approx 10^{-3} \begin{pmatrix} -997.92 & -64.527\sqrt{\frac{A_u-600}{A_u}} & 0.22297\sqrt{\frac{174000-A_u}{A_u}} \\ 0.15442\sqrt{A_u} & -2.3965\sqrt{A_u-600} & 2.4014\sqrt{174000-A_u} \\ -0.15442\sqrt{\frac{(174000-A_u)(A_u-600)}{A_u}} & 2.3965\sqrt{174000-A_u} & 2.4014\sqrt{A_u-600} \end{pmatrix} \quad (B8)$$

where the approximation $A_u \gg m_u$ was assumed because of the restriction (3.25). The matrix O_u now plays the role of a unitary matrix to make the WB transforma-

tion on (B6) and (B7). The entries, in the new representation, depend of A_u . In order to have a texture zeros at the entry (1, 3), we need to solve

$$M_d(1, 3) = Y(A_u) \propto (8144.2 - 42221i)A_u\sqrt{174000-A_u} + (95.463 + 25819i)A_u\sqrt{A_u-600} - (10852)\sqrt{A_u(A_u-600)(174000-A_u)} - (9.3588 - 61.745i)(174000-A_u)\sqrt{A_u} + (2714.0 + 17906i)(A_u-600)\sqrt{A_u} + (0.0013716 - 0.37097i)(174000-A_u)\sqrt{A_u-600} - (33.934 + 175.92i)(A_u-600)\sqrt{174000-A_u} \approx 0, \quad (B9)$$

whose solution is $A_u \approx 84621$ MeV, which agrees perfectly with the value given in the aforementioned paper. The quark mass matrices (B6) and (B7) take the form

$$M'_u = O_u M_u O_u^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 55.537 & 0 \\ 55.537 & 89977 & 86660 \\ 0 & 86660 & 84621 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}, \quad (B10)$$

$$M'_d = O_u M_d O_u^T \quad (B11)$$

$$\approx \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2.5792 + 25.325i & 0 \\ 2.5792 - 25.325i & 1600.5 & 1456.0 + 114.63i \\ 0 & 1456.0 - 114.63i & 1475.5 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}. \quad (B12)$$

At the present stage we have not yet obtained the matrices given in (25) and (26) of paper [8]. But we can make an additional WB transformation using the follow-

ing pure phase complex unitary matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & e^{i4.4984} & \\ & & e^{-i0.063300} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (B13)$$

We finally get the desired matrices

$$M''_u = P^\dagger M'_u P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -11.794 - 54.270i & 0 \\ -11.794 + 54.270i & 89977 & -13009 + 85678i \\ 0 & -13009 - 85678i & 84621 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}, \quad (B14)$$

$$M''_d = P^\dagger M'_d P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 24.199 - 7.8983i & 0 \\ 24.199 + 7.8983i & 1600.5 & -331.91 + 1422.3i \\ 0 & -331.91 - 1422.3i & 1475.5 \end{pmatrix} \text{ MeV}. \quad (B15)$$

-
- [1] H. Fritzsch, Phys.Lett.B73,317 (1978).
 - [2] G.C. Branco, L. Lavoura, Fatima Mota, Phys.Rev.D39, 3443 (1989).
 - [3] Pierre Ramond, R.G. Roberts, Graham G. Ross, Nucl.Phys., B406,19 (1993) [hep-ph/9303320].
 - [4] Xiao-Gang He and Wei-Shu Hou, Phys.Rev.D41, 1517 (1990).
 - [5] Harald Fritzsch and Zhi-zhong Xing, Phys.Lett.B555, 2003 [hep-ph/0212195]; Zhi-zhong Xing and He Zhang, J. Phys. 630, 129, 2004 [hep-ph/0309112].
 - [6] W.M.Yao *et al.*, Journal of Physics, G33, 1 (2006); <http://pdglive.lbl.gov/>.
 - [7] K. Nakamura et al. (Particle Data Group), JP G 37, 075021 (2010) and 2011 partial update for the 2012 edition (URL: <http://pdg.lbl.gov>).
 - [8] G.C. Branco, D. Emmanuel-Costa, R. Gonzalez Felipe, Phys.Lett.B477, 2000 [hep-ph/9911418].
 - [9] Yu-Feng Zhou, 2003 [hep-ph/0309076].
 - [10] Luis E. Ibanez, Graham G. Ross, Phys., Lett.B332, 100 (1994) [hep-ph/9403338].
 - [11] Nobuhiro Uekusa, Atsushi Watanabe, Koichi Yoshioka, Phys.Rev. D71, 094024 (2005) [hep-ph/0501211].
 - [12] Hideo Fusaoka, Yoshio Koide, Phys.Rev.D57, 3986 (1998) [hep-ph/9712201].
 - [13] Andrija Rasin, [hep-ph/9708216].

- [14] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 531 (1963).
- [15] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652 (1973).
- [16] L. L. Chau and W. Y. Keung, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1802 (1984).
- [17] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1945 (1983); A. J. Buras et al., Phys. Rev. **D50**, 3433 (1994) [hep-ph/9403384].
- [18] J. Charles et al. [CKMfitter Group], Eur. Phys. J. **C41**, 1 (2005) [hep-ph/0406184].
- [19] A. Hcker et al., Eur. Phys. J. C21, 225 (2001) [hep-ph/0104062]; see also Ref. [18] and updates at <http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr/>. We use Beauty 2009 results in this article.
- [20] Monika Randhawa, V. Bhatnagar, P. S. Gill and M. Gupta, Phys.Rev.D60, 051301 (1999) [hep-ph/9903428].
- [21] P.S. Gill and Manmohan Gupta, Phys.Rev. D56 (1997) 3143 [hep-ph/9707445].